Global Academic Journal of Dentistry and Oral Health

Available online at <u>https://www.gajrc.com</u> **DOI:** <u>10.36348/gajdoh.2021.v03i03.005</u>



Case Report

"Correction of Mutilated Dentition with Multiple Missing 1st Permanent Molars by Adjunctive Fixed Orthodontic Mechanotherapy" – A Case Report

Dr. Bhushan Jawale¹, Dr. Lishoy Rodrigues^{2*}, Dr. Anup Belludi³, Dr. Suresh Kangane⁴, Dr. RK Suryavanshi⁵, Dr. Pushkar Gawande⁶

¹Professor, Dept of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Sinhgad Dental College and Hospital, Vadgaon Bk., Pune, Maharashtra, India

²PG Student, Dept of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Sinhgad Dental College and Hospital, Vadgaon Bk., Pune, Maharashtra, India

³Professor and HOD, Dept of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, KLE Dental College and Hospital, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

⁴Professor and HOD, Dept of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, MIDSR, Latur, Maharashtra, India

⁵Professor and HOD, Dept of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Sinhgad Dental College and Hospital, Vadgaon Bk., Pune, Maharashtra, India

⁶Reader, Dept of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Sinhgad Dental College and Hospital, Vadgaon Bk., Maharashtra, India

*Corresponding Author Abstract: A problem often confronting the orthodontist is that of missing molars. In evaluation of the individual case, the decision as to space closure or space regaining **Dr. Lishoy Rodrigues** and eventual prosthetic reconstruction can be perplexing. Various diagnostic criteria, such as skeletal relation, arch-length analysis, inclination of teeth, and dental esthetics, Article History Received: 19.04.2021 must be evaluated. On the basis of this diagnostic information, a treatment alternative Accepted: 01.06.2021 is chosen to correct the malocclusion. The solution may be found in maintaining space, Published: 06.06.2021 necessitating post-orthodontic prosthetic replacement, or closing space and thus avoiding the need for artificial teeth. This case report evaluates the management of a 29 year old adult female patient having a mutilated malocclusion with multiple missing 1st permanent molars. It was managed by routine orthodontic treatment which involved protraction of permanent 2^{nd} molars in the space available in the missing 1^{st} molar region. Although the cephalometric values revealed proclined and forwardly placed upper and lower front teeth, we did not sought the need to extract any premolars as the nasolabial angle was already favorable and obtuse and any premolar extractions in this case would worsen the facial profile and would result in a senile appearing facial profile. After the treatment, a marked improvement in patient's smile, facial profile and occlusion was achieved and there was a remarkable increase in the patient's confidence and quality of life. Correction of the mutilated malocclusion was achieved without tipping, rotation of the posterior teeth, or other problems. The profile changes and treatment results were demonstrated with proper case selection and good patient cooperation with fixed appliance therapy. Keywords: Molar protraction, Replacement of missing 1st molars, Adjunctive orthodontic treatment, Adult patient, Mutilated dentition, Fixed Orthodontic treatment, Missing 1st permanent molars, Multiple missing molars, Case report.

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution **4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)** which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

When a 1^{st} permanent molar is lost, orthodontic replacement with 2^{nd} permanent molars would be an excellent treatment option if success were guaranteed. Stepovich [1] presented the possibilities of these methods without severe complications, such as root resorption and tipping of adjacent teeth. Roberts *et al* [2, 3] used endosseous implants placed in the posterior area to close missing molar spaces by mesial movement of the 2nd molars. In recent years, orthodontic miniscrews, which are more convenient, simple, and cheaper

Citation: Bhushan Jawale *et al* (2021). "Correction of Mutilated Dentition with multiple missing 1st Permanent Molars by Adjunctive Fixed Orthodontic Mechanotherapy" – A Case Report. Glob Acad J Dent Oral Health; Vol-3, Iss- 3, pp- 49-54.

than endosseous implants, have been used widely. Kyung et al [4] reported a 9-mm mesial movement of mandibular second molars, and Nagaraj et al [5] reported an 8-mm movement using miniscrews to close bilateral missing mandibular first molar spaces. Kravitz and Jolley [6] discussed problems, such as buccal proclination, during mandibular protraction with miniscrews. molar The management of missing molars requires an integrated multidisciplinary approach [7]. Generally the choice between space opening with tooth replacement and space closure with 2nd molar substitution relies on several parameters to be considered before treatment planning. Commonly the choice is related to occlusal relationship (i.e. overjet and overbite, molar relationship), facial typology and profile, arch length, and tooth size discrepancies. The morphology of the 2nd molar, in terms of size and shape, and its colour [8] also may address different treatment strategies. Finally, patient expectation and compliance can influence the treatment planning. In case of unilateral tooth agenesis, space opening is often recommended to improve the aesthetics of patients and preserve smile symmetry. On the contrary, in case of bilateral agenesis, space closure and space opening could be both performed with respect to the issues previously reported [9-12]. Space opening is advised in low-angle subjects, whilst in high-angle individuals space closure should be preferred to preserve arch anchorage and avoid clock-wise rotation of the lower jaw. Retruded profiles should be better treated with space opening and tooth substitution, in order to improve labial sagittal relationships. This treatment strategy should be avoided in subjects with bimaxillary dental protrusion [13-19], in which it could result in worsening of the profile. Molar relationship should be also considered. Molar class I or class III tendency should be better treated with space opening to preserve ideal occlusal anterior and posterior relationship (i.e., canine and molar relationship) and establish a solid angle class I. In case of full cusp or partial molar class II, space closure should be preferred to facilitate orthodontic biomechanics and reduce treatment duration. A stable molar class II and canine class I, are then obtained. However, in case of arch length discrepancies extractions in the lower arch should be considered, thus obtaining a molar and canine class I. Anterior relationship, that is, overjet and overbite, must be taken into account in terms of facilitation of biomechanics. Reduced overjet and increased overbite may easily be improved by space opening mechanics, whilst increased overjet and reduced overbite may benefit from space closure. Shape and size of 2nd permanent molars affect the possible rehabilitation choice. Our patient had grossly decayed maxillary left and mandibular right and left 1st permanent molars

which needed extractions. Hence we decided to go about with this case by extracting the grossly decayed molars and substituting the same by protraction the 2nd permanent molars in the space available by extracting the 1st permanent molars.

CASE REPORT

Chief Complaint and Etiology

An adult female patient, aged 29 years 6 months, sought an orthodontic evaluation with a chief complaint of 3 missing molar teeth and also wanted to get a replacement for the same. The patient also complained of irregularly placed upper and lower front teeth. The maxillary left and mandibular bilateral 1st permanent molars had been extracted due to severe caries.

Extra-Oral Examination

On Extra-oral examination, the patient had a convex facial profile, grossly symmetrical face on both sides, potentially incompetent lips, moderately deep mentolabial sulcus and an obtuse Nasolabial Angle with loss of upper lip support, a Mesoprosopic facial form, Dolicocephalic head form, average width of nose and mouth, upwardly tipped nose and orthognathic divergence of face. The patient had no relevant prenatal, natal, postnatal history, history of habits or a family history. On smiling, there was presence of crowded upper anterior teeth with excessive show of upper front teeth.



Fig-1: Pre-Treatment Extra-Oral Photographs

Intra-Oral Examination

Intraoral examination on frontal view shows presence of crowded upper and lower anterior teeth with an average overjet and overbite and lower dental midline shifted to the right by 0.5mm. On lateral view the patient shows the presence of Class I incisor relationship, a Class I canine relationship on the left and an End-on Canine relationship on the right side. Lateral view also shows presence of bilateral posterior open bite. Patient has proclined and forwardly placed upper and lower anterior teeth. On occlusal view, there is presence of 1st molar extraction spaces seen in maxillary left and mandibular bilateral posterior region. The upper and lower arch also show presence of a "U" shaped arch form



Fig-2: Pre-Treatment Intra-Oral Photographs

Radiographic Examination

Lateral Cephalogram shows presence of proclined and forwardly placed upper and lower anterior teeth with an average to vertical growth pattern. OPG shows presence of a mutilated dentition with missing 26, 36 and 46. Mesial tipping of 47 is seen which is almost closing the available extraction space of 46. Lower dental midline seems to be shifted to the patient's right side. OPG also reveals presence of horizontally impacted 36.



Fig-3: Pre-Treatment Radiographs

PARAMETERS	PRE- TREATMENT
SNA	83°
SNB	82°
ANB	1°
WITS	0mm
MAX. LENGTH	78mm
MAN. LENGTH	98mm
IMPA	98°
NASOLABIAL ANGLE	101°
U1 TO NA DEGREES	32°
U1 TO NA mm	5mm
L1 TO NB DEGREES	29°
L1 TO NB mm	4mm

118°

27°

73°

Table-1: Pre Treatment Cephalometric Readings

Diagnosis

FMA

Y AXIS

U1/L1 ANGLE

This 29 year old female patient was diagnosed with a Class II malocclusion on a Class I Skeletal base , an average to vertical growth pattern, missing 26,36 and 46, average overjet and overbite with a lower midline shift to the right by 0.5mm, bilateral posterior open bite, crowded and proclined upper and lower incisors, protruded upper and lower lips, a slightly retruded chin, moderately deep mentolabial sulcus, increased lip strain, potentially incompetent lips with an obtuse Nasolabial angle, an upwardly tipped nose, an orthognathic facial profile with an orthognathic divergent face.

List of Problems

- 1. Missing 26, 36 and 46.
- 2. Non congruent dental midlines.
- 3. Bilateral posterior open bite.
- 4. Proclined upper and lower front teeth.
- 5. Crowded upper and lower front teeth.
- 6. Potentially incompetent lips.
- 7. Increased lip strain.

Treatment Objectives

- 1. Protraction of 27,37 and 47 into the extraction space site.
- 2. To correct bilateral posterior crossbite.
- 3. To correct the proclined and crowded upper and lower front teeth.
- 4. To improve the lip competency.
- 5. To decrease the lip strain.
- 6. To achieve a pleasing smile and a pleasing profile.

Treatment Plan

- Fixed appliance therapy with Pre-adjused Edgewise bracket system.
- Initial leveling and alignment with 0.012", 0.014", 0.016", 0.018", 0.020" NiTi archwires following sequence A of MBT.
- 2nd molar protraction of 27,37 and 47 into the 1st molar extraction site with the help of Elastomeric chains.
- Retraction and closure of spaces by use of 0.019" x 0.025" rectangular NiTi followed by 0.019" x 0.025" rectangular stainless steel wires.
- Final finishing and detailing with 0.014" round stainless steel wires.
- Retention by means of Begg's Wrap-around retainers along with lingual bonded retainers in the upper and lower arch.

Treatment Alternatives

Spaces caused by missing molars could be corrected by prosthetic bridges, dental implants, auto transplantation of 2nd molars, or mesial orthodontic movement of 2nd molars. Prosthetic bridges offered the advantage of short treatment time but must be accompanied by significant tooth preparation. Dental implants permit conservation of tooth structure but require surgery. Auto transplantation also required surgery, and successful transplantation could not be guaranteed. After explaining and counseling the patient about all the treatment options available, our patient finally chose replacement of the missing 1st permanent molars with 2nd molars by the process of orthodontic intervention.

Treatment Progress

Complete bonding & banding in both maxillary and mandibular arch was done, using Preadjusted Edgewise bracket system. Initially a 0.012" NiTi wire was used which was followed by 0.014, 0.016", 0.018", 0.020" NiTi archwires following sequence A of MBT. After 6 months of alignment and leveling NiTi round wires were discontinued. Retraction and closure of spaces was then started by use of 0.019" x 0.025" rectangular NiTi followed by 0.019" x 0.025" rectangular stainless steel wires. Reverse curve of spee in the lower arch and exaggerated curve of spee in the upper arch was incorporated in the heavy archwires to prevent the excessive bite deepening during retraction process and also to maintain the normal overjet and overbite. Routine orthodontic treatment involved protraction of permanent 2nd molars in the space available in the missing 1st molar region. Protraction of 2nd permanent molars for closure of existing 1st molar spaces was done with the help of Elastomeric chains delivering light continuous forces and

replaced after every 4 weeks due to force decay and reduction in its activity. Proximal stripping was done in upper and lower anterior region to unravel the crowding present in maxillary and mandibular anterior region. Finally light settling elastics were given with rectangular steel wires in lower arch and 0.012" light NiTi wire in upper arch for settling, finishing, detailing and proper intercuspation. The extraction spaces were completely closed down by protraction of 2nd permanent molars and the profile of the patient improved significantly to more Orthognathic with a pleasant and consonant smile arc on smiling. Upper and lower anterior crowding was unraveled, bilateral posterior crossbite was corrected, lip competency and the Nasolabial angle improved significantly at the end of treatment.

Table-2: Post Treatment Cephalometric

Keaungs				
PARAMETERS	POST-TREATMENT			
SNA	82°			
SNB	81°			
ANB	1°			
WITS	0mm			
MAX. LENGTH	77mm			
MAN. LENGTH	97mm			
IMPA	95°			
NASOLABIAL ANGLE	106°			
U1 TO NA DEGREES	30°			
U1 TO NA mm	4mm			
L1 TO NB DEGREES	27°			
L1 TO NB mm	3mm			
U1/L1 ANGLE	121°			
FMA	26°			
Y AXIS	72°			



Fig-4: Post-Treatment Extra-Oral Photographs



Fig-5: Post-Treatment Intra-Oral Photographs Treatment Results

All of the original treatment objectives were achieved. The maxillary and mandibular arches were well aligned and coordinated. Class I incisor, canine and molar relationship was achieved bilaterally. Maxillary and mandibular anterior crowding was unraveled by proximal stripping and the bilateral posterior open bite was corrected by proper settling of occlusion. The chief complain of missing molars and irregularly placed upper and lower front teeth was addressed. The increased nasolabial angle at pre-treatment was improved, lips changed from being potentially incompetent to competent and lip strain decreased significantly at the end of treatment with a good lip support and non-everted lower lip. Wire fixed retainers were attached to the lingual aspect of each tooth from the right to the left canines in both arches. The patient wore a Begg's wrap around retainer for 15 hours per day for the first 2 months, followed by another 10 months of nighttime wear.

DISCUSSION

Treatment of a mutilated dentition with missing molars is challenging. A well-chosen individualized treatment plan, undertaken with sound biomechanical principles and appropriate control of orthodontic mechanics to execute the plan is the surest way to achieve predictable results with minimal side effects. Class II malocclusion with bimaxillary dento-alveolar protrusion might have any number of a combination of the skeletal and dental component. Hence, identifying and understanding the etiology and expression of malocclusion and identifying differential diagnosis is helpful for its correction. The patient's chief complaint was missing molars and irregularly placed upper and lower front teeth. The selection of orthodontic fixed appliances is dependent upon several factors which can be categorized into patient factors, such as age and compliance, and clinical factors, such as preference/familiarity and laboratory facilities. After analyzing the case thoroughly and reading all pretreatment cephalometric parameters along with evaluating the patients profile clinically, a decision was made to replace the missing 1st permanent molars with 2nd permanent molars by protracting them into the available space and correction of maxillary and mandibular anterior crowding by proximal stripping in upper and lower anterior region. Although the cephalometric values revealed proclined and forwardly placed upper and lower front teeth, we did not sought the need to extract any premolars as the nasolabial angle was already favorable and obtuse and any premolar extractions in this case would worsen the facial profile and would result in a senile appearing facial profile. There was improvement in occlusion, smile arc, profile and Nasolabial angle at the end of the treatment. Successful results were obtained after the fixed MBT appliance therapy within a stipulated period of time. The overall treatment time was 18 months. After this active treatment phase, the profile of this 29 year old female patient improved significantly as seen in the post treatment Extra-oral photographs. Removable Begg's retainers were then delivered to the patient along with fixed lingual bonded retainers in upper and lower arch. Patient was very satisfied at the end of the treatment.

PARAMETERS	PRE- TREATMENT	POST-TREATMENT
SNA	83°	82°
SNB	82°	81°
ANB	1°	1°
WITS	0mm	0mm
MAX. LENGTH	78mm	77mm
MAN. LENGTH	98mm	97mm
IMPA	98°	95°
NASOLABIAL ANGLE	101°	106°
U1 TO NA DEGREES	32°	30°
U1 TO NA mm	5mm	4mm
L1 TO NB DEGREES	29°	27°
L1 TO NB mm	4mm	3mm
U1/L1 ANGLE	118°	121°

 Table-3: Comparison of Pre and Post Treatment Cephalometric Readings

Γ	FMA	27°	26°
	Y AXIS	73°	72°

CONCLUSION

The case report depicts and highlights the role of Orthodontics in adjunctively treating an adult female patient with multiple missing molars and crowded maxillary and mandibular incisors. The planned goals set in the pretreatment plan were successfully attained. The missing molars were replaced by protraction of 2nd permanent molars into the available extraction spaces of 1st permanent molars and the upper and lower anterior crowding was unraveled with fixed orthodontic treatment by executing proximal stripping. Good intercuspation of the teeth was maintained with class I incisor, canine and molar relationship. The maxillary and mandibular teeth were found to be esthetically satisfactory in the line of occlusion. Patient had an improved smile and facial profile. The correction of the malocclusion was achieved, with a significant improvement in the patient aesthetics and selfesteem.

REFERENCES

- 1. Stepovich MI. (1979). A clinical study on closing edentulous spaces in the mandible. Angle Orthod, 49:227-33.
- 2. Roberts WE, Marshall KJ, Mozsary PG. (1990). Rigid endosseous implant utilized as anchorage to protract molars and close an atrophic extraction site. Angle Orthod, 60:135-52.
- 3. Roberts WE, Nelson CL, Goodacre CJ. (1994). Rigid implant anchorage to close a mandibular first molar extraction site, J Clin Orthod, 28:693-704.
- 4. Kyung SH, Choi JH, Park YC. (2003). Miniscrew anchorage to protract lower second molars into first molar extraction sites, J Clin Orthod, 37:575-9.
- Nagaraj K, Upadhyay M, Yadav S. (2008). Titanum screw anchorage for protraction of mandibular second molars into first molar extraction sites. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop, 134: 583-91.
- 6. Kravitz ND, Jolley T. (2008). Mandibular molar protraction with temporary anchorage devices. J Clin Orthod, 42:351-5.
- L. Savarrio and G. T. McIntyre. (2005). "To open or to close space—that is the missing lateral incisor question," Dental Update, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 16–25, View at: Google Scholar
- S. Wriedt, P. Werner, and H. Wehrbein, (2007). "Tooth shape and color as criteria for or against orthodontic space closure in case of a missing lateral incisor," Journal of Orofacial Orthopedics, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 47–55, View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

- 9. M. Rosa and B. U. Zachrisson. (2010). "The space-closure alternative for missing maxillary lateral incisors: an update," Journal of Clinical Orthodontics, vol. 44, no. 9, pp. 540–561, View at: Google Scholar
- G. Richardson and K. A. Russell. (2001). "Congenitally missing maxillary lateral incisors and orthodontic treatment considerations for the single-tooth implant," Journal of the Canadian Dental Association, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 25–28, View at: Google Scholar
- 11. B. J. Millar and N. G. Taylor. (1995). "Lateral thinking: the management of missing upper lateral incisors," British Dental Journal, vol. 179, no. 3, pp. 99–106, View at: Google Scholar
- 12. E. Argyropoulos and G. Payne. (1988). "Techniques for improving orthodontic results in the treatment of missing maxillary lateral incisors A case report with literature review," American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 150– 165, View at: Google Scholar
- 13. Bhushan Jawale, D., Rodrigues, L., Ashtekar, S., Belludi, A., & Kale, A. (2021). "Correction of Spaced Dentition with Fixed Orthodontic Preadjusted Edgewise Bracket System"–A Case Report. South Asian Res J Oral Dent Sci, 3(3), 45-52.
- Bhushan Jawale et al. (2021). "Adjunctive Orthodontic Treatment in an Adult Patient with Mutilated Dentition" – A Case Report On Multidisciplinary Orthodontics, Glob Acad J Dent Oral Health; Vol-3, Iss- 3, pp-36-41.
- 15. Bhushan Jawale et al. (2021). "Treatment of Severe Crowding and Bimaxillary Dental Protrusion in a Patient with Angle's Class I Malocclusion and a Vertical Growth Pattern" – A Case Report On Orthodontic Camouflage. Saudi J Oral Dent Res, 6(5): 203- 208.
- Bhushan Jawale et al. (2021). "Conventional MBT Mechanotherapy for Management of Bimaxillary Dentoalveolar Protrusion" – A Case Report, EAS J Dent Oral Med, 3(3), 65-72.
- Bhushan Jawale et al. (2021). Wonders of Rapid Maxillary Expansion and Lower Premolar Extractions in Correction of a Skeletal Class III Case with Maxllary Deficiency and Mandibular Excess|| – A Case Report on Non- Surgical Orthodontic Camouflage, Saudi J Oral Dent Res, 6(5): 192-202.
- Bhushan Jawale et al. (2021). "Maxillary 1st Premolar Extractions For Correction of Proclined Upper Dentition" – A Case Report. Int J Recent Sci Res. 12(05), pp. 41740-41745.
- 19. Bhushan Jawale et al. (2021). "Management of Reverse Overjet and Overbite in an Adult Patient

with Angle's Class III Malocclusion and a Horizontal Growth Pattern" – A Case Report On

Non-Surgical Orthodontic Camouflage. EAS J Dent Oral Med, 3(3), 73-77.